



The University of Texas at  
Arlington

Student Feedback Survey (SFS)

SFS@uta.edu [do not reply]

This report, prepared for Peter Lehmann on 08/21/2013, is private & confidential to the extent permitted by law.

## Student Feedback Survey Summary Report, Academic Term 2135S (SIS 13)

Instructor: Lehmann, Peter  
Course: SOCW-6343-001-Intimate Partner Violence  
Course ID: 2135-53952

Number of students enrolled: 26  
Number of surveys submitted: 6  
Response rate: 23.1% (cf. university-wide mean response rate since spring 2012 = ~32%)

### REPORT LAYOUT AND CONTENT

This report contains four sections:

**Overall Indicators:** An instructor index (a weighted average of the survey's five key items about the instructor) plus indices relevant to special courses (e.g., laboratory facilities), if applicable.

**Survey Results:** Summary data for each of the survey's multiple choice items.  
To interpret the figures, refer to the legend near the top of the next page.

**Profile:** The average rating for each scaled item presented in an alternative format.

**Comments Report:** Responses to each open-ended item, unedited.

### REPORT ARCHIVING

Faculty members must download their SFS summary reports a secure location so that they are readily accessible for future use, e.g., as part of one's annual review.

Within the next several weeks, each chair/dean will receive a departmental/school compilation for review and filing within the unit.


*Questions about this Student Feedback Survey summary report may be addressed to  
David J. Silva, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs (djsilva@uta.edu).*

Peter Lehmann  
SOCW-6343-001-Intimate Partner Violence (2135-53952) -- Semester: 2135S (SIS 13)  
No. of responses = 6



## Overall indicators

Instructor Index: Items 1.1 through 1.5




av.=4.9  
dev.=0.4

## Survey Results

## Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
md=Median  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention

1. **The instructor.** For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."


*Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").*

1.1) The instructor provided clearly defined expectations.




n=6  
av.=4.8  
md=5  
dev.=0.4

1.2) The instructor used teaching methods that helped me learn.




n=6  
av.=4.8  
md=5  
dev.=0.4

1.3) The instructor helped me take a role in my own learning, by encouraging questions or other forms of participation as appropriate to the course.




n=5  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0

1.4) The instructor was well prepared for each class meeting.



n=6  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0

1.5) The instructor was available outside of class either in person or online.



n=4  
av.=4.5  
md=5  
dev.=1  
ab.=1


1.6) The instructor is one whom I would recommend to other students.



n=6  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0

### 3. Your Engagement in the Course


3.1) Approximately how many of the course meetings / lectures did you attend?




3.2) On average, approximately how many **hours per week** did you spend working on this course outside of class time? (This number would include time spent on reading, reviewing, homework, papers, projects, etc.)



3.3) Before the class, how did you feel about taking this course?




3.4) At the end of the term, how do you feel about having taken this course?




### 4. About You...

4.1) What is your current status?




4.2) What is your primary reason taking this course?



n=6


4.3) What grade do you expect to earn in this course?



n=6


## 5. Rate the course's:

5.1) ... pace.



n=6


5.2) ... workload.



n=6


## 6. Some final reflections on what you learned. Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.

6.1) I acquired **knowledge** that will be useful in my future.



n=6  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0

6.2) I acquired **skills** that will be useful in my future.



n=6  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0

6.3) I can apply course concepts in new contexts.



n=6  
av.=5  
md=5  
dev.=0



Thank you for providing your feedback. Student input matters.  
The UT Arlington Student Feedback Survey Team

# Profile

Subunit: SOCW  
 Name of the instructor: Professor Peter Lehmann  
 Name of the course: SOCW-6343-001-Intimate Partner Violence (2135-53952)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. **The Instructor.** For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."

*Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").*

1.1) The instructor provided clearly defined expectations.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
1.2) The instructor used teaching methods that helped me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
1.3) The instructor helped me take a role in my own learning, by encouraging questions or other forms of participation as appropriate to the	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.4) The instructor was well prepared for each class meeting.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.5) The instructor was available outside of class either in person or online.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=1.0
1.6) The instructor is one whom I would recommend to other students.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0

6. **Some final reflections on what you learned.** Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.

6.1) I acquired <b>knowledge</b> that will be useful in my future.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
6.2) I acquired <b>skills</b> that will be useful in my future.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
6.3) I can apply course concepts in new contexts.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
6.4) I have become a better thinker / problem solver.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4

## Comments Report

1. **The Instructor.** For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."

*Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").*

1.7) Please take a moment to explain your recommendation (in the item immediately above) by providing specific reasons or examples.

- Great professor for this fast paced class.
- I learned so much in this class. Dr. Lehmann was always prepared for class and valued the time and input of the students highly. I felt respected as a learner in this class.
- Lehmann is a true master instructor, and I wish all of my professors would have taken the time to craft their lessons to be so effective as Dr. Lehmann did.
- Really enjoyed this class. It is a hard topic, but Dr. Lehman made it easy to understand through class discussion, role playing and guest speakers.
- This Instructor is very knowledgeable and involves the student in actively learning the material in real practical ways using real practice experiences or simulations (Role Play) so that we can get some type of hands on learning. He is a caring and compassionate Instructor that I would highly recommend.

## 2. The Learning Experience.

In responding to the next two items, you should address any aspect of the course that had an impact on your learning experience.

2.1) Which attributes of the course and/or the professor **helped** you learn the material?  
(When the course is next taught, what should be done in the same way?)

- Everything Dr. Lehman did, should be done the same way
- Guest speakers, scenarios, videos, self directed learning were all aspects of this class that attributed to me learning the material.
- I really appreciated that half of the class day was talking and the other half was a guest speaker. I also really enjoyed the more creative/ expressive aspects of the class versus only doing papers and tests.
- It was real world material that is backed up in the Literature that will impact my future practice.

2.2) Which attributes of the course and/or the professor **did not help** you learn the material?  
(When the course is next taught, what might be revised?)

- I did not enjoy reading the lit reviews during class. I know it is important to obtain a lot of information fast with Maymesters, but it was difficult for me to pay attention to that.
- I would not change a thing.
- Some projects were too time consuming, and not rational with a Maymester limitation.