

This report, prepared for Peter Lehmann on 05/24/2013, is private & confidential to the extent permitted by law.

Student Feedback Survey Summary Report, Academic Term 2132 (SP 13)

Instructor: Lehmann, Peter

Course: SOCW-3304-003-SW PRACTIC II

Course ID: 2132-24880

Number of students enrolled: 22 Number of surveys submitted: 6

Response rate: 27.3% (cf. university-wide mean response rate for spring 2013 = 32%)

REPORT LAYOUT AND CONTENT

This report contains four sections:

Overall Indicators: An instructor index (a weighted average of the survey's five key items about the instructor) plus indices relevant to special courses (e.g., laboratory facilities), if applicable.

Survey Results: Summary data for each of the survey's multiple choice items. To interpret the figures, refer to the legend near the top of the next page.

Profile: The average rating for each scaled item presented in an alternative format.

Comments Report: Responses to each open-ended item, unedited.

REPORT ARCHIVING

Faculty members must download their SFS summary reports a secure location so that they are readily accessible for future use, e.g., as part of one's annual review.

Within the next several weeks, each chair/dean will receive a departmental/school compilation for review and filing within the unit.

Questions about this Student Feedback Survey summary report may be addressed to David J. Silva, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs (disilva@uta.edu).

Peter Lehmann SOCW-3304-003-SW PRACTIC II (2132-24880) -- Semester: 2132 (SP 13) No. of responses = 6








Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

1. **The Instructor**. For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."


Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").



3.	Your Engagement in the Course			
3.1)	Approximately how many of the course meetings / lectures did you at	tend?		
	Less than 50%		0 n=6	6
	50%		0	
	75%		2	
	90%		3	
	100%		1	
	not applicable		0	
3.2)	On average, approximately how many hours per week did you spend include time spent on reading, reviewing, homework, papers, projects	working on this course <u>outside</u> of class, etc.)	ss time? (This number v	would
	1		1 n=6 av.=	.=3.5
	3		dev	v.=1.8
	5		1	
	6		1	
3.3)	Before the class, how did you feel about taking this course?			
	Very Negative		0 n=6 av.=	.=3.8
	Negative		0 dev	v.=0.8
	Neutral		2	
	Positive		3	
	Very Positive		1	
3.4)	At the end of the term, how do you feel about having taken this cours			
	Very Negative		0 n=6 av.=	.=4.5
	Negative		0 dev	v.=0.5
	Neutral		0	
	Positive		3	
	Very Positive		3	
4.	About You			
4.1)	What is your current status?			
	First-Year Undergrad (Freshman)		0 n=6	6
	Sophomore		0	
	Junior		2	
	Senior		4	
	Graduate Student		0	
	Other		0	
			-	

4.2)	What is your primary reason taking this course?			
	As an elective		0	n=6
	Required for Undergrad Minor		0	
	Required for Undergrad Major (6	
	To fulfill Undergrad Core Requirement		0	
	Required for Graduate Degree		0	
4.3)	What grade do you expect to earn in this course?			
	Α(4	n=6
	в(2	
	C		0	
	D		0	
	F		0	
5.	Rate the course's:			
5.1)	pace.			
	Too slow		0	n=6
	Slow		0	
	Just right (6	
	Fast		0	
	Too fast		0	
5.2)	workload.			
	Too light		0	n=6
	Light		0	
	Just right (6	
	Heavy		0	
	Too heavy		0	
		of a second with the fall actions	4-4	
6.	Some final reflections on what you learned. Indicate your level	of agreement with the following s	tatements.	
6.1)	I acquired knowledge that will be useful in my future. Strongly Disagree	0 0 0 1 5	Strongly Agree	n=6 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.4
		1 2 3 4 5		
6.2)	I acquired skills that will be useful in my future. Strongly Disagree	0 0 0 1 5	Strongly Agree	n=6 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.4
		1 2 3 4 5		
6.3)	I can apply course concepts in new contexts. Strongly Disagree	0 0 0 2 4	Strongly Agree	n=6 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.5
		1 2 3 4 5		

n=6 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.5





Thank you for providing your feedback. Student input matters. The UT Arlington Student Feedback Survey Team

Profile

Subunit: SOCW

Name of the instructor: Professor Peter Lehmann

Name of the course: SOCW-3304-003-SW PRACTIC II

Name of the course: SOCW-3 (Name of the survey)


Comparative line: University-Wide, Spring 2013

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. **The Instructor.** For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."


Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").

- 1.1) The instructor provided clearly defined expectations.
- 1.2) The instructor used teaching methods that helped me learn.
- 1.3) The instructor helped me take a role in my own learning, by encouraging questions or
- The instructor was well prepared for each class meeting.
- 1.5) The instructor was available outside of class either in person or online.
- The instructor is one whom I would recommend to other students.



n=6	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.8
n=31318	av.=4.3	md=5.0	dev.=1.0
n=5	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
n=31246	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=1.1
n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=31153	av.=4.3	md=5.0	dev.=1.0
n=6	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
n=31088	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=6	av.=4.2	md=4.5	dev.=1.0
n=29870	av.=4.3	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=6	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.8
n=30998	av.=4.2	md=5.0	dev.=1.2

- 6. Some final reflections on what you learned. Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.
- 6.1) I acquired **knowledge** that will be useful in my future.
- 6.2) I acquired **skills** that will be useful in my future.
- 6.3) I can apply course concepts in new contexts.
- 6.4) I have become a better thinker / problem solver.



n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=0		md=0.0	dev.=0.0
n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=0		md=0.0	dev.=0.0
n=6	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
n=0		md=0.0	dev.=0.0
n=6	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
n=0		md=0.0	dev.=0.0

Comments Report

1. **The Instructor.** For each statement, indicate your level of agreement by clicking on the appropriate box. If you cannot provide a meaningful response to any item, choose "no comment."

Please be careful to note how the response options appear on your electronic device ("strongly agree" vs. "strongly disagree").

- ^{1.7)} Please take a moment to explain your recommendation (in the item immediately above) by providing specific reasons or examples.
- Dr. Lehmann was very good at using role playing and specific examples to illustrate different methods he was teaching us in class. He made a late night Wednesday night class very informative and even entertaining at times.
- I love the fact that he pushed us out of our comfort zone to role play and challenged us until we got it right, but in a very gentle way. You will definitely learn how to deal with difficult clients. This class isn't for those who are not serious-they don't deserve to sit in this class and waste ANYONE's time. This is for those who truly want to real how to engage clients. He has the perfect "counselor" way about him that if anyone feels fear or other adverse feelings, he will calmly talk them away. I loved this class!

2. The Learning Experience.

In responding to the next two items, you should address <u>any</u> aspect of the course that had an impact on your learning experience.

- 2.1) Which attributes of the course and/or the professor helped you learn the material? (When the course is next taught, what should be done in the same way?)
- All of his methods were useful in helping to learn the material
- CONSTANTLY ENCOURAGING CLASS PARTICIPATION DURING DISCUSSION
- The role playing!!! He was also very thorough with covering material in the book and with lectures in PowerPoint, too. I feel this class was so applicable to my future dream job and Professor Lehmann covered what my other professors did not...direct practice in dealing with the people.
- the instructor would make all of us participate in class by asking direct questions to specific person in a respectful way. this made all of us put extra attention to lectures
- Which attributes or the course and/or the professor **did not help** you learn the material? (When the course is next taught, what might be revised?)
- I did not like the books used for this course
- I wouldn't change anything about the way Dr. Lehmann teaches this course.
- THE ASSIGNMENTS DIDN'T SEEM RELEVANT TO THE COURSE
- This is another class where I can honestly say I have no complaints or concerns. I learned the most lessons in this class when it comes to dealing with people.